EXHIBIT B

1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
2	. Chapter 15	
3	IN RE:	
4	. 09-10314 (MG)	
5	ATLAS BULK SHIPPING, AS, ET AL,	
6	. New York, New York Debtors Friday, February 20, 2009	
7	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING ON RECOGNITION OF FOREIGN PROCEEDING	
8	BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARTIN GLENN UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE	
9		
10	APPEARANCES:	
11	For the Debtors: James F. Sweeney, Esq. NICOLETTI, HORNIG & SWEENEY	
12	Wall Street Plaza 80 Pine Street	
	New York, NY 10005	
13	For Allied Maritime,	
14	et al: Jeremy J.O. Harwood, Esq. BLANK ROME, LLP	
15	The Chrysler Building	
16	405 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10174	
17		
	(Appearances Continued)	
18		
19	Audio Operator: Electronically Recorded by Stacey Hibbert, ECRO	
20	Transcription Company: Rand Reporting & Transcription, LLC	
21	80 Broad Street, Fifth Floor	
22	New York, New York 10004 (212) 504-2919	
23	www.randreporting.com	
24	Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript	
	produced by transcription service.	
25		

1	APPEARANCES: (Continued)	
2	For Molina Shipping:	Peter Scoufalos, Esq. BROWN, GAVALAS & FROMM, LLP
3		355 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10017
4	For Dorman Shipping and	
5	New Era Shipping: (Via telephone)	Thomas L. Tisdale, Esq. TISDALE LAW OFFICES, LLC 10 Spruce Street Southport, CT 06890
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21	·	
22	·	
23		
24		
25		
	ll .	

THE COURT: Thank you. Anyone else? Is someone on 1 2 the phone? MR. TISDALE: Yes, Your Honor. This is Thomas Tisdale 3 of the Tisdale Law Offices representing Dorman Shipping and New 4 Era Shipping. 5 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 6 All right. First, there was filed a motion for joint 7 administration. We didn't take that up before. That motion is 8 granted. 9 MR. SWEENEY: Thank you, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Sweeney? 11 MR. SWEENEY: Thank you, Your Honor. 12 We represent the trustee Lisa Bow Larson (phonetic), 13 who is here today with us from Copenhagen. And we seek the 14 assistance of this Court pursuant to Section 1501(b) of the Bankruptcy Code. 16 The debtors here, which are Atlas Bulk Shipping and 17 Atlas Shipping, if I can just collectively refer to them as 18 Atlas, filed for bankruptcy protection in the courts in 19 Copenhagen, Denmark, pursuant to Danish bankruptcy law. 20 Copenhagen is where Atlas has the center of its main interests, 21 and the Danish Court there is a court of competent 22 jurisdiction, given the fact that the Atlas entities were indeed headquartered in Copenhagen. The petition was filed December 17, 2008, and was --25

```
and the bankruptcy was declared the following day. Thereafter,
1
   Ms. Larson was duly appointed as one of the trustees. Given
2
   the fact that significant Atlas assets were located in this
3
   district, and had been attached and restrained pursuant to
   Supplemental Rule B attachments issued by the Southern District
5
   of New York, the trustee brought this petition in these
6
   proceedings pursuant to Chapter 15 on January 23, 2009.
7
             In this proceeding, the Court conducted a hearing on
8
   January 27 concerning the trustee's application for interim
9
   Section 1519 relief. The Court granted that relief and issued
1.0
   an order temporarily staying all litigation involving Atlas,
11
   and directing the garnishee banks and those parties to whom the
1.2
   Rule B orders of attachment were issued in favor of those
13
   parties. The relief was granted under 1519 by the Court, and
14
   all restrained and attached funds were to be turned over to my
15
   firm to be held in trust. To the best of our knowledge, Your
16
   Honor, that order has now been complied with.
17
            THE COURT: How much money have you -- has been turned
18
   over?
19
            MR. SWEENEY: It's some -- it's $4.3 million and
20
   change, Your Honor. I can give you -- we have a --
21
            THE COURT: That's okay.
22
            MR. SWEENEY: -- a spreadsheet with the exact
23
   accounting details.
24
             THE COURT: And I take it -- have you shared the
```

spreadsheet with the other counsel for the creditors?

MR. SWEENEY: I have not, but we have copies here available.

THE COURT: Okay.

2.2

MR. SWEENEY: And the banks, in remitting to our trust account, also gave details of what they had received and restrained.

Accordingly, the trustee now seeks recognition of the Danish bankruptcy proceeding as a foreign main proceeding within the meaning of Section 1502. Pursuant to Section 1507, the Danish proceeding will afford, first, just treatment of all holders of claims against or interests in the debtors' property, protection of claim holders in the United States against prejudice and inconvenience in the processing of claims in the Danish proceedings, prevention of preferential or fraudulent dispositions of property of the debtor, distribution of proceeds of the debtors' property substantially in accordance with the order prescribed by Chapter 15, and if appropriate, the provision of an opportunity for a fresh start for an individual which is not really concerned here.

Pursuant to 1517, Your Honor, this Court should therefore enter an order recognizing the Atlas bankruptcy proceeding in Denmark because it is indeed a foreign main proceeding within the meaning of 1502, because Lisa Larson has been appointed trustee and she's here today, and she's brought

2.1

this petition, and because the petition itself meets all of the Section 1515 requirements.

In addition, and under and pursuant to Section 1521, and so as to protect the assets of Atlas and the interests of all creditors -- all creditors, the trustee also asks for relief available under Sections A and B of 1521.

Your Honor, we have submitted a great deal of paperwork, including a memorandum on — for this hearing, together with the declaration of the trustee. There's two declarations, actually, in the record now. And we submit that that should suffice to allow the Court to grant this petition. However, of course, Ms. Larson is here should the Court have any questions about the Danish procedure, Danish bankruptcy law, et cetera.

really contests that this proceeding should be recognized, and the foreign proceeding should be recognized as a foreign main proceeding. The issue that -- issues that have been raised are with respect to the effect of recognition and what should be included in any relief awarded with respect to attachments that were obtained in New York prior to the interim relief being awarded.

Do you want to address that, Mr. Sweeney?
MR. SWEENEY: Yes, Your Honor.

We think it's addressed -- we would address that in

two ways. First of all, the automatic stay provision, I think it's 326 --

THE COURT: 362 is the automatic stay.

MR. SWEENEY: 362. I'm sorry. That, under the case law and the case law interpretations of it, and by its own language, would effectively nullify these Rule B attachments.

THE COURT: No, it wouldn't nullify anything. All it would do is it would prevent any of the creditors from reducing to judgment any claims they have. I mean, it would basically freeze the situation to whatever existed on the date that -- really, that the interim relief was awarded. But it wouldn't nullify the -- do you have any -- you didn't cite any authority for the proposition that the effect of recognition in the automatic stay would be to nullify attachments that had been obtained prior to your getting relief, did you? Maybe I missed it.

MR. SWEENEY: Well, Your Honor, we refer the Court to the Adama (phonetic) decision that we did cite here. And in that case, the -- there was a garnishee bank which was held liable for continuing -- for violating the automatic stay and for continuing a restraining notice against funds. We are -- the automatic stay had been implemented pursuant to the Code.

And the Court found:

"Upon the filing of the petition," in other words, the implementation of the stay, "the restraining notice

1.3

2.1

became void and of no effect."

And this was a pre-petition restraining notice. So the Court found the thrust of it was to reach back and nullify the restraining notice bringing the assets back into the estate.

We would also submit, Your Honor, that under principles of comity, which is the underlying principle of Chapter 15, that this Court should recognize the rules in effect in the Danish bankruptcy.

THE COURT: Well, but under 1523(a), the foreign representative, unless he, she or they filed a parallel Chapter 11 proceeding, would not have any avoidance powers. The filing of a Chapter 15 does not give the foreign representative avoidance powers. That's made explicit in 1523(a).

MR. SWEENEY: Well, one of the objections, Your Honor, was that 1523 -- we hadn't done anything such as that according to 1523(a). But there's no empowerment to do that unless and until there is recognition of the proceeding as a foreign main

THE COURT: I understand that. I understand that.

But let's assume I grant recognition. The issue is what happens to the attachments that were obtained before interim relief was afforded here.

Once recognition, the foreign representative, if the representatives chose to file a Chapter 11 proceeding in this

3

4

5

6

8

9

24

25

court, under 1523(a), they could then bring an avoidance -avoidance actions and they could seek to set aside the attachments that any of the creditors had obtained. But what's not clear to me, and I want to go back and read Adama, is do you get that relief -- I mean, you can go to Denmark and you can -- the representatives can initiate whatever actions or procedures are provided for under Danish insolvency law and seek to obtain relief against these creditors in Denmark, if Danish law would nullify the interests that the creditors are claiming to bring back that judgment and seek recognition of 10 the Danish judgment here, but let me ask you first. 11 You agree that, absent a Chapter 11 filing by the 12 foreign representatives in this court, they don't have 13 avoidance powers? 14 MR. SWEENEY: Not according to the case law, Your 15 Honor. That's what --16 THE COURT: Well, what do you do with 1523(a)? 17 MR. SWEENEY: Well, the --18 THE COURT: What's the impact of 1523(a) which says, 19 quote: 20 "Upon recognition of a foreign proceeding, the foreign 21 representative has standing in a case concerning the 22 debtor pending under another chapter of this title to 23

initiate actions under Sections 522, 544, 545," et

It goes on.

cetera.

But it has to be under another chapter of this title, 1 not under Chapter 15. 2 MR. SWEENEY: Your Honor, in the Adama decision, what 3 happened there was there was a restraining order and a 4 garnishment prior to the petition. The Court here, this Court 5 said, upon the filing of the petition, implementation of the 6 stay, the restraining notice became void and of no effect. And 15 --8 THE COURT: You know, there's a recent case, Fogerty 9 V. Conder Guarantee (phonetic), it's a Southern District of 10 Mississippi case from February 9th, 2009, quote: 11 "In the opinion of the Court, Sections 1521(a)(7) and 12 Section 1523 are intended to exclude all of the 13 avoidance powers specified under either United States 14 or foreign law, unless a Chapter 7 or 11 bankruptcy 15 proceeding is instituted." 16 MR. SWEENEY: Your Honor, I would submit under Adama 17 it's the automatic stay that reaches back --18 THE COURT: Do you have a copy of Adama with you? I 19 don't have it out here. 20 (Counsel confer.) 21 THE COURT: My clerk tells me you didn't even cite 22 Adama. 23 MR. SWEENEY: It's in our hearing petition that we 24 filed yesterday, Your Honor. It's at Page 4. 25

```
THE COURT: It's not on ECF?
1
            MR. SWEENEY: It's In Re --
2
            THE COURT: Did you file it on ECF?
3
        (Counsel confer.)
4
            THE COURT: You submitted -- don't you use ECF?
5
    You're supposed to file electronically. You think that -- I
6
   mean, stuff doesn't show up from a window in my chambers. I
7
   haven't seen it, okay?
8
        (All counsel speaking.)
9
             THE COURT: Did you serve it on ECF?
10
             UNIDENTIFIED: (Indiscernible).
11
             THE COURT: Why?
12
             UNIDENTIFIED: We don't have an ECF password for the
13
   Bankruptcy Court.
14
             THE COURT: I'm not going to consider it. Did you
15
    serve everybody else?
16
             MR. SWEENEY: Yes.
1.7
             THE COURT: How?
18
             MR. SWEENEY: Electronically.
19
             THE COURT: Can I see it? How do you expect me to see
20
    things if you don't get them -- deliver a copy to chambers --
21
             MR. SWEENEY: We did, Your Honor. That's what we did
22
    -- copies yesterday afternoon contemporaneous with the service
23
    on all parties.
24
             THE COURT: We didn't see it.
25
```

```
1.3
        (Court reviews documents.)
1
            THE COURT: You filed a memorandum, or just the case?
2
            MR. SWEENEY: No, we filed a memorandum as well, Your
3
   Honor.
4
            THE COURT: Can I see the memorandum? You know, I
5
   prepare if I get the papers.
6
            MR. SWEENEY: We served --
7
            THE COURT: I prepare when I get papers.
8
            MR. SWEENEY: That's why we gave the courtesy copy to
9
    chambers. I don't know what happened to it.
10
       (Court reviews documents.)
11
            THE COURT: I'm not going to read them now. I'll --
12
   is this an extra copy?
13
            MR. SWEENEY: Yes, Your Honor. I apologize, Your
14
   Honor. We did fully intend --
15
            THE COURT: I pride myself on being prepared, but I
16
   have to have papers to prepare.
17
            MR. SWEENEY: Understood. That's why we submitted
18
    them to the Court both at the window and courtesy copies to
19
    chambers.
20
            THE COURT: All right. Go ahead. Anything else --
21
    any other points you want to make?
22
            MR. SWEENEY: No, Your Honor.
23
            THE COURT: All right. Let me hear from other
24
25
    counsel.
```

MR. HARWOOD: Your Honor, it's --

1.5

THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Harwood.

MR. HARWOOD: Jeremy Harwood, Your Honor. It's Mr. Tisdale's cross-motion, so I'll leave it to him.

I'd just like to add when he's finished.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Tisdale?

MR. TISDALE: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you very much.

And I apologize. I do not have my papers in front of me. But going from memory, first of all, I believe Your Honor is exactly correct insofar as the avoidance powers of the Court at this point in time without there being another action filed under this chapter.

Moreover, the Adama case to which Mr. Sweeney is referring specifically refers to the fact that the Bankruptcy Court was avoiding a transfer -- was avoiding action being taken under New York State law, and it talked about the fact that New York -- that U.S. bankruptcy law had a superseding effect over New York State law and any rights available under New York State law.

In fact, what each of the creditors in this case has done, Dorman Shipping, New Era and all of the other parties represented here, is have filed Rule B attachments, which are traditional maritime, federal maritime remedies. There is a case which we did not cite because we hadn't seen the Adulla (sic) issue raised, but there was -- I'm sorry, the Adama issue

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

raised, but there is a case called Aurora Shipping v. Fonem (phonetic), which goes from the Second Circuit about 1998 --THE COURT: Did you cite it in your papers? MR. TISDALE: I did not, Your Honor, because I had not heard -- seen any reference to the Adama case yet. But I can have my office send Your Honor that citation. But all that citation merely does is say that U.S. federal maritime law also supersedes any state law remedies which would affect the traditional maritime aspects of the remedy.

So what you -- the Adama case effectively is irrelevant and immaterial to the issues which are before Your Honor, which are effectively federal maritime attachment and how they compete with the federal bankruptcy law, and recognition of a Danish proceeding. I don't think that, at this point, we can recognize any avoidance proceedings or any avoidance requests since there is no parallel action under any other chapter of the Code, and there's been no decisions or judgments rendered from the Danish Bankruptcy Court on this issue.

> THE COURT: Anybody else want to be heard? MR. HARWOOD: Very briefly, Your Honor.

I think the -- Jeremy Harwood for the three creditors I identified earlier. We are in the same position as Mr. Tisdale's client. We have Rule B attachments.

There is a schedule of the Rule B creditors, and I think we all stand in the same shoes as Mr. Tisdale's client if, in fact, he was excepted from the reach of this order. So I think the Court would have to address all the creditors who have Rule B if you granted -- this Court granted Mr. Tisdale's motion. I think 1521 and 1523, as the Court has described it this morning --

THE COURT: Well, the only issue, it seems to me, is that -- and I'll go read Adama, and someone will call -- give us the cite to Aurora Shipping, we'll read that as well, but the automatic stay applies. None of you can go ahead and take any action -- absent the lifting of the automatic stay, nobody can take any action to perfect their liens. You can't get judgment.

On the other hand, it seemed to me 1523(a) means that the foreign representatives can't avoid the attachment liens, and that their remedy is to go to Denmark if they want a proceeding in Denmark and try and get a -- you know, relief from the Court in Denmark, or file a Chapter 11 here and have the -- use the trustee's avoiding powers.

But I want to read Adama --

MR. SWEENEY: Your Honor, if I might --

THE COURT: Well, let me hear from Mr. Harwood. Go

24 | ahead.

MR. HARWOOD: I agree, Your Honor. It's -- because

1.0

2.0

```
Chapter 15 is new and the context of this is new, we really
   don't -- this Court is dealing with it to a certain extent as a
2
   matter of first impression, especially in respect of an
3
   international bankruptcy involving a question of where these
4
   creditors have to go back to Denmark or take their luck sitting
5
   here. I don't know what the answer is, Your Honor, unless it's
6
   found in 540, 41 in terms of turnover, but that is -- that is
   where it's -- 1523 reads. That relief from 547 has to be under
8
   a separate chapter. Thank you.
9
            MR. SWEENEY: Your Honor, if I might?
10
            THE COURT: Are you finished, Mr. Harwood?
11
            MR. HARWOOD: I'm sorry?
12
            THE COURT: Are you finished?
13
            MR. HARWOOD: Yes, Your Honor, I am.
14
            THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Sweeney.
15
            MR. SWEENEY: Your Honor, I'm advised by the trustee
16
    that in Denmark, the attachments are dissolved as a matter of
17
   law. It's not -- I have the Danish Bankruptcy Act here with
18
   me, Your Honor.
19
             THE COURT: I don't have -- you know, go to the Court
20
    in Denmark and get a, you know, a judgment in Denmark and, you
21
   know, bring it here and I'll recognize it. But you just can't
22
    tell me that you want me -- you know, none of you briefed any
23
    of this, okay? You say you put it in a brief I've never seen.
```

MR. SWEENEY: And there's an accompanying declaration,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Your Honor, that cites to the Bankruptcy Act in Denmark that we also submitted.

THE COURT: Well, the briefing from all of you was inadequate on this.

MR. SWEENEY: In addition, Your Honor, the -- you'll see Point 3 in our brief, which again, I apologize, I don't know how it didn't get to you -- is a citation to the Quenard case (phonetic). That's a Second Circuit decision.

There is one distinction that I'll admit in that this was a post-petition attachment in Quenard. But the Second Circuit said that Rule B maritime attachments, and this was a Swedish bankruptcy, can be dissolved by this Court here, by the Court sitting in New York, as a matter of comity with the Swedish Bankruptcy Court wherein the attachments would not have been valid.

Now, we have the exact same situation here because the Danish Court will not recognize any of these attachments. And that, again, arises as a matter of law. In other words, there's no judgment to be had there. This is by operation of the Danish Bankruptcy Act, which has been cited in our papers in the declaration of the trustee. We have a copy of the Act, and we have the trustee here who can testify to that, Your Honor. And that's what we're seeking recognition --

THE COURT: An issue you're raising for the first time in a memorandum that you filed the day before the hearing that

```
I never saw -- that everybody -- has everybody had a chance --
   you know, I'm --
2
             MR. SWEENEY: I filed it yesterday because the motion
3
   was filed the day before. The only opposition that was filed
4
   was filed on Wednesday evening. So we filed it here at three
5
   o'clock yesterday afternoon, Your Honor. It was our only
6
   opportunity to file anything with the court, and that's exactly
   what we did.
8
             THE COURT: Let me ask the creditors' counsel.
9
            MR. TISDALE: Judge, this is Thomas Tisdale. I --
10
            THE COURT: Just wait, Mr. Tisdale.
11
            MR. TISDALE: -- certainly have not --
12
            THE COURT: Mr. Tisdale, just stop.
1.3
             Do any of the creditors object to the recognition of
14
    the Danish proceeding as a foreign main proceeding?
15
        (No verbal response.)
16
            THE COURT: I hear no objection to that. Mr. --
17
            MR. HARWOOD: No objection.
18
            MR. SKOUFALOS: No, Your Honor.
19
            MR. TISDALE: No, Your Honor.
20
            THE COURT: All right. And the issue is the relief
21
   that would follow now. What I'm going to do, Mr. Sweeney, is
22
   we're going to enter an order recognizing the Danish proceeding
23
   as a foreign main proceeding, requiring -- I don't have the
24
   language. We didn't have a disk, either, from -- with your
```

```
proposed order. Basically, I'm going to require that any funds
1
   that are subject -- that purport to be subject to the
2
   attachment remain in your -- in an escrow account with your
3
   firm, and that I want further briefing from everybody. We're
4
   going to have another hearing. I'm not going to do this on the
5
   fly.
6
             I'm going to give everybody a chance -- do you all
7
   have Mr. Sweeney's brief that he filed yesterday?
8
            MR. HARWOOD: Yes, Your Honor.
9
             THE COURT: How much time do you want to file a brief
10
   in response to Mr. Sweeney's brief?
11
            MR. HARWOOD: Your Honor, Jeremy Harwood. I'm not
12
   sure if Mr. Sweeney is planning on putting in a notice of
1.3
   foreign laws. That argument he just raised was not in his
   brief. It's one of comity as opposed to something else. But
15
   if he isn't --
16
             THE COURT: Well, I'm going to give -- let me -- it's
17
   a fair point, Mr. Harwood.
18
            Mr. Sweeney, if this is what you're going to argue,
19
   okay, and you're asking the Court to take notice of foreign
20
   law, I think the Federal Rules of Evidence -- Federal Rules of
21
   Civil Procedure, Federal Rules of Evidence have procedures
22
   about what you have to do to get me to recognize that foreign
23
2.4
   law.
             What I want you to do, Mr. Sweeney, is I want you to
25
```

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

23

25

work out a briefing schedule with the other counsel, rather than doing it now. The money is going to sit there safe in the meantime. Work out a schedule. I want to make sure I have the last brief that anybody files no less than three business days before a hearing. So you work out a schedule that will accommodate everyone, not overly lengthy, but a reasonable schedule that will give you each a chance. You'll need to get a hearing date. My courtroom deputy is away. We can get you a date. So work out the dates with other counsel for briefing the issue. If you want me to recognize Danish law, and if, as you say, the Danish law says that these attachments are a nullity and that -- you also need to brief the comity issue as to why I should recognize that Danish law. I mean, what does seem to me -- and I'll read Adama, but it certainly seemed to me that in the context of a Chapter 15, which Adama was not appear to be a Chapter 15 --MR. SWEENEY: It was in the prior section, Your Honor, the prior Code. THE COURT: -- that 1523(a), unless you have a proceeding under a chapter other than 15, the trustee doesn't

have avoidance powers. MR. SWEENEY: Your Honor, if the Court's going to grant recognition today --

THE COURT: Yeah, I want to make sure -- I don't want

additional -- the effect of granting recognition today is going to prevent anybody else from filing anything. 2 MR. SWEENEY: Understood, Your Honor. But if -- given 3 that there's recognition, now we would be free under 1523 to 4 file a -- that's a Chapter 11 proceeding. Can we refer that to 5 Your Honor? 6 THE COURT: It will come to me as a -- yeah. It will be listed as a related proceeding. 8 MR. SWEENEY: Okay. As a related matter? 9 And, also, Your Honor, there's just one --10 THE COURT: I'm not trying to get you to -- if you've 11 got an argument that under Danish law, which I should give 12 comity to, that the attachments are a nullity, that's fine. 13 I'm not ruling against you on the law. I just didn't have any of the briefs or any of these arguments. 15 MR. SWEENEY: Point 3 in our brief addresses that 16 exact issue, Your Honor. And there was -- in any event, and 17 there's Second Circuit authority there. We will give the 18 notice of reliance on foreign law. I thought that was almost 19 implicit given that we're asking to recognize a foreign 2.0 proceeding, but I'll give that notice, Your Honor. That's no 21 problem. THE COURT: Well, you know, you asked me to recognize 23

the foreign proceeding under Chapter 15, and you filed all the

things that were required to be filed, and everybody had a

chance to object. Now you're going beyond that and you're 1 dealing with, under Danish law, what's the effect of the 2 attachments that were obtained in New York previously, none of 3 which I've read because I didn't see them. 4 MR. SWEENEY: Your Honor, one other, just a practical 5 issue, the trustee informs me that if we are to serve the order 6 7 on all parties, all creditors, can we confine that, as we have to date, to those in the United States? In other words, the 8 garnishee banks and the credit -- the Rule B creditors here? 9 Because once we have to notify all creditors worldwide, they're 10 going to get an order that they don't understand, and it just 11 creates a lot of confusion towards the --1.2 THE COURT: What does Chapter 15 -- who do they 13 require you to -- does it require you to serve? MR. SWEENEY: It doesn't specify. It just says, all 15 the debtors. 16 (Pause.) 17 THE COURT: Well, Mr. Sweeney, it seems to me you take 18 the risk because if you haven't served anyone -- you know, if 19 someone takes action and they haven't been served, I don't see 20 how they're going to be bound. 21 MR. SWEENEY: Okay, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: But it's your risk. 23 MR. SWEENEY: 24 Okay.

THE COURT: Do you have a disk with the order of

```
24
    recognition? You provided us a hard copy, but we didn't have a
1
    disk.
2
             MR. SWEENEY: We can get you a disk today, Your Honor.
3
             THE COURT: Anybody else want to be heard?
4
        (No verbal response.)
5
             THE COURT: Mr. Sweeney, look at 1514(a) just on the
6
7
   issue of notice, notification, 1514. Doesn't this require you
   to give notice to all creditors, foreign as well as U.S.?
8
             MR. SWEENEY: It would seem so, Your Honor.
9
             THE COURT: So comply with 1514.
10
            MR. SWEENEY: Will do.
11
             THE COURT: I can't -- that's what the Code says.
12
   mean, I -- you know, if you've got some authority for doing
13
   otherwise, but looking at 1514, it seems to require that you
14
   serve creditors that do not have addresses in the United
15
   States.
16
            All right. As I say, work out a schedule and you can
17
   get a date from one of my law clerks today. If you don't have
18
   it worked out yet, you can contact chambers next week and get a
   date for -- a mutually convenient date for the hearing.
20
            All right. We're adjourned.
21
22
        (Proceedings concluded at 10:39 a.m.)
                                  ****
23
```

CERTIFICATION I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter. Cathuyo a Lynch February 24, 2009 Cathryn Lynch, NJ Cert. No. 565 Certified Court Transcriptionist Rand Reporting & Transcription, LLC